## TO REPEAL THE ACT PROVIDING FOR CHANGE OF ENTRY

JANUARY 5 (calendar day, JANUARY 8), 1925.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. Ladd, from the Committee on Public Lands and Surveys, submitted the following

## REPORT

[To accompany S. 3839]

The Committee on Public Lands and Surveys, to whom was referred the bill (S. 3839) to repeal the act approved January 27, 1922, providing for change of entry, and for other purposes, having considered the same, reports thereon favorably, without amendment, and recommends it to pass.

The recommendations of the Interior Department are indicated

by the reports submitted by them, as follows:

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, Washington, January 3, 1925.

Hon. E. F. LADD.

Chairman Committee on Public Lands,

United States Senate.

My Dear Senator Ladd: I have the honor to inclose herewith for your consideration, and for introduction if you deem proper, a bill to repeal the act of Congress approved January 27, 1922 (42 Stat. 359), entitled "An act to amend section 2372 of the Revised Statutes."

The act in question was reported upon favorably by this department in a letter to the Senate Committee on Public Lands May 19, 1921, and in letters to

letter to the Senate Committee on Public Lands May 19, 1921, and in letters to the House committee September 1 and 10, 1921.

The occasion for the legislation grew out of the construction of section 7 of the act of March 3, 1891, and the ruling of the Supreme Court of the United States in Lane v. Hoglund (244 U. S. 175), certain entries having been canceled for failure to comply with the law, fraud, or for other reasons, and the lands entered and improved by others thereafter, and in many cases patents issued to the second entrymen prior to the decision of the Supreme Court above noted. Under that decision, if proceedings were not instituted within two years from date of final proof, the entry was held to be confirmed, and the subsequent cancellation by this department erroneous. this department erroneous.

The result was that the original entrymen in some cases brought suits to have the second entrymen declared trustees for them. The department therefore felt that some relief should be afforded those innocent parties, and report was

so made on S. 1099.

Sincerely yours,

In presenting the matter on the floor of the House, it was stated that there were some 40 or 50 cases of the kind in the department awaiting adjustment. The department was led to believe that there were comparatively few of such cases and that equity demanded the enactment of some such legislation.

cases, and that equity demanded the enactment of some such legislation.

It now transpires that in addition to the equitable cases which occasioned the legislation, parties are searching the records and acquiring claims from parties and their heirs in cases of timber-culture and other entries canceled from 15 to 23 years ago, and making lieu or scrip selections for other lands, ostensibly in the interest of the original entrymen or their heirs, but quite probably for the benefit of clients or transferees of those who are instigating the filing of the claims. In other words, the act is apparently being made a vehicle of lieu selections somewhat as was the forest lieu act of June 4, 1897, which was repealed by Congress March 3, 1905 (33 Stat. 1264).

the benefit of clients or transferees of those who are instigating the filing of the claims. In other words, the act is apparently being made a vehicle of lieu selections somewhat as was the forest lieu act of June 4, 1897, which was repealed by Congress March 3, 1905 (33 Stat. 1264).

It is the opinion of the department that all equitable claims have had opportunity for presentation under said act of January 27, 1922, and that the act is now being used for a purpose not contemplated by this department or by Congress when the law was enacted; that the same reasons which moved Congress to repeal the forest lieu selection act should warrant the repeal of this act.

HUBERT WORK, Secretary.

C